

REMARKS

This Preliminary Amendment cancels, without prejudice, claims 1 to 12 in the underlying PCT Application No. PCT/EP04/01666 and adds new claims 13 to 26. The new claims, inter alia, conform the claims to United States Patent and Trademark Office rules and does not add any new matter to the application.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.125(b), the Substitute Specification (including the Abstract) contains no new matter. The amendments reflected in the Substitute Specification (including Abstract) are to conform the Specification and Abstract to United States Patent and Trademark Office rules or to correct informalities. As required by 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.121(b)(3)(ii) and 1.125(c), a Marked-Up Version of the Substitute Specification comparing the Specification of record and the Substitute Specification also accompanies this Preliminary Amendment. Approval and entry of the Substitute Specification (including Abstract) are respectfully requested.

The underlying PCT Application No. PCT/EP04/01666 includes an International Search Report, dated June 16, 2004, a copy of which is included. The Search Report includes a list of documents that were considered by the Examiner in the underlying PCT application.

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of the present application is new, non-obvious and useful. Prompt consideration and allowance of the application are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

KENYON & KENYON

Dated: August 22, 2005

By:



Richard L. Mayer
Reg. No. 22,490
One Broadway
New York, New York 10004
(212) 425-7200
CUSTOMER NO. 26646

Handwritten notes:
RN 8237
(Ch. 11)
A.
(11/11)

METHOD AND DEVICE FOR EFFECTING A COMPUTER-AIDED ESTIMATION OF
THE MASS OF A VEHICLE, PARTICULARLY OF A COMMERCIAL VEHICLE

~~Background Information~~

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a method and a device for
effecting a computer-aided estimation of the mass of a vehicle,
5 particularly e.g., of a commercial or goods-carrying vehicle,
~~as recited in Claim 1 and Claim 11.~~

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

In electronic vehicle systems such as electronic stability
10 programs (ESP) for regulating driveability in the extreme range
from the standpoint of driving dynamics, or in electronically
regulated brake systems (EBS) for commercial vehicles, a value
is generally needed for the mass of the vehicle. Since as a
rule, no sensors are present for ascertaining the mass, the
15 vehicle mass must be calculated or estimated by suitable
algorithms.

[[DE]] German Published Patent Application No. 42 28 413

[[Al]] describes a method for determining the vehicle mass, in
20 which two longitudinal vehicle accelerations at at least two
different points of time and the propulsive powers existing at
these points of time are measured. The vehicle mass is then
determined from the difference between the propulsive powers
and the difference between the longitudinal accelerations.

25 According to [[DE]] German Published Patent Application No.
198 02 630 [[Al]], to determine the vehicle mass, the
propulsive power and the corresponding longitudinal vehicle
acceleration are measured at points of time continually
30 following each other with constant time intervals.

[[The]] U.S. Patent No. 6,347,269 B1 ~~proposes~~ describes ascertaining the vehicle mass on the basis of the propulsive powers, the running resistances and the vehicle acceleration, the influence of the roadway inclination being eliminated by a high-pass filter.

According to PCT International Published Patent Application No. WO 00/11439 [[A1]], to ascertain the vehicle mass, at least two time-staggered measurements are determined, including one tractive-force variable and one movement variable of the vehicle, one of the two measurements being carried out during a phase free of tractive force, and the other during a tractive-force phase.

~~From the generic~~ In German Published Patent Application No. [[DE]] 101 44 699 [[A1]], a method is ~~known~~ described which is based on the equilibrium relationship or ratio between the motive or driving force on one hand, and the accelerative force and the climbing resistance. This equilibrium relationship reads:

$$F = m \cdot (a + g \cdot \sin \alpha) \quad (1)$$

where

F = motive force

a = time derivation of the longitudinal vehicle velocity

α = gradient angle of the roadway

g = gravitational acceleration

m = vehicle mass

In equation (1), the accelerative force is represented by the product $m \cdot a$, and the climbing resistance by the product $m \cdot g \cdot \sin \alpha$. To calculate mass m of the vehicle, equation (1) is therefore solved for m, and the instantaneous values for F, a and α are determined from measured quantities. Since gradient angle α of the roadway being traveled at any one time is not

known, as a rule it is estimated with the aid of a computer during coupling phases or during phases without or with very low motive force, or is disregarded altogether. When using converter clutches or powershift transmissions, however, such freewheeling phases are no longer available, so that a sufficiently accurate estimation of the vehicle mass ~~[[is]]~~ may be difficult.

SUMMARY

~~Therefore, the object~~ An example embodiment of the present invention ~~is to further develop~~ may provide a method for effecting a computer-aided estimation of mass m of a vehicle of the type mentioned at the outset in such a way that the above-indicated disadvantages are may be avoided. ~~The intention is also to make available~~ An example embodiment of the present invention may provide a device for the application of the method.

~~This objective is achieved by the features of Claim 1 and Claim 11.~~

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The An example embodiment of the present invention ~~is based on the concept of~~ may include evaluating changes in the operating state of the vehicle over time t for estimating the vehicle mass. When a vehicle is traveling along any route, gradient angle α of the roadway is a function of time t . If one differentiates equation (1) with respect to time t , the following equation results:

$$\dot{F} = m \cdot (\dot{a} + g \cdot \dot{\alpha} \cdot \cos \alpha) \quad (2)$$

Assuming the change in gradient angle $\alpha(t)$ is very small in time interval dt considered, the influence of gradient angle

$\alpha(t)$ ~~is to~~ may be minimized or eliminated. Then $\dot{\alpha} = d\alpha/dt \approx 0$ applies, and equation (2) reads as follows:

$$\dot{F} = m \cdot \dot{a} \quad (3)$$

5 Due to the time derivation of equation (2), it ~~was~~ may therefore be possible to advantageously eliminate the influence of gradient angle α , assumed to be constant for a time, in equation (3), so that gradient angle α ~~would~~ may not have to be estimated, calculated or measured by a cost-
10 creating sensor.

Equation (3) solved for estimated value \hat{m} of the vehicle mass then reads:

$$\hat{m} = \frac{\dot{F}}{\dot{a}} \quad (4)$$

15 Equation (4) thus forms the estimate equation for mass m of the vehicle. The estimate equation ~~is preferably~~ may be calculated continuously, e.g., by recursive methods. The recursive algorithms used may contain so-called forget factors with which the behavior of the algorithm may be adjusted. The
20 forget factors are adjusted in the direction of faster convergence in suitable situations, e.g., during longer stand-still times in which mass m of the vehicle ~~could~~ may change.

25 To estimate m according to equation (4), the variables F and a or $\dot{F} = dF/dt$ and $\dot{a} = da/dt$ ~~must~~ may need to be determined.

Motive force F includes, inter alia, the known running resistance and drive resistance developing, for example, due to
30 friction losses in the engine and transmission, etc., and/or sustained braking forces:

$$F = \frac{M \cdot \omega - \Theta \cdot \dot{\omega}}{v} \cdot \eta - 1/2 p \cdot c_w \cdot A \cdot v^2 \quad (5)$$

M = Engine torque including friction torque

ω = Engine speed

v = Vehicle velocity

A = Frontal area of the vehicle

5 η = Drive-train efficiency

Θ = Moment of inertia of the engine

p = Density of the air

c_w = Drag coefficient

10 The quantities in equation (5) therefore include vehicle-specific quantities such as moment of inertia of the engine Θ , drag coefficient c_w , frontal area A and drive-train efficiency η of the vehicle. The vehicle-specific quantities ~~are preferably~~ may be stored in a memory unit of a control
15 unit of the vehicle. Furthermore, equation (5) includes quantities concerning the instantaneous driving conditions of the vehicle such as engine torque M , engine speed ω , vehicle velocity v and density p of the ambient air that are measurable or are constantly able to be fetched in the control
20 unit of the vehicle. From the indicated data or quantities, a calculating unit, ~~preferably~~ e.g., the control unit of the vehicle itself, is able to calculate motive force F and acceleration a .

25 The term \dot{a} in the denominator of equation (4) is the derivation of vehicle acceleration a with respect to time t and is ~~known~~ referred to as jolt. Therefore, mass m can may only be estimated during suitable phases in which da/dt and dF/dt is not equal to 0.

30 The control unit differentiates quantities F and a using suitable methods such as the two-point differentiation method or a state-variable filter, the derivation ~~preferably~~, e.g., being carried out over longer time intervals. To improve the
35 accuracy of the estimation, the differentiated quantities may

subsequently be filtered. Preferably For example, using a least-square algorithm, estimated value \hat{m} for the vehicle mass is then calculated as follows:

$$\hat{m} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \dot{F}_i \cdot \ddot{v}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N \ddot{v}_i \cdot \ddot{v}_i} \quad (6)$$

5 with i as subscript for the i-th measured value. The measured quantities such as vehicle velocity v are suitably weighted, for example, the weighting being carried out as a function of the accuracy of the measured quantities. Moreover, the measured quantities concerning the instantaneous driving
10 conditions of the vehicle may be filtered as a function of the signal quality. The quantities concerning the instantaneous driving conditions of the vehicle may furthermore be measured repeatedly, and the measurements weighted differently.

15 Depending on the quality of the measured quantities for vehicle velocity v and force F, instead of calculating \hat{m} , it may be more favorable to calculate the reciprocal value $1 / \hat{m}$. Alternatively, both a value for \hat{m} and reciprocal value $1 / \hat{m}$ could may be calculated, and a weighted average value formed.

20 In addition to the method, ~~the present invention also includes~~ a device may be for effecting a computer-aided estimation of the mass of a vehicle, especially, e.g., of a commercial vehicle. This device includes a calculating unit for
25 calculating the mass of the vehicle and/or the reciprocal value of the mass from the equilibrium relationship between motive force F and the running resistances, into which mass m and gradient angle α of the roadway are entered as calculation quantities, after a computer-aided differentiation of the
30 equilibrium relationship with respect to time, assuming gradient angle α is constant. This calculating unit ~~is~~

preferably may be integrated into the control unit of the vehicle.

ABSTRACT

A method is for effecting a computer-aided estimation of the mass of a vehicle, e.g., of a goods-carrying vehicle, based on the equilibrium ratio between the driving force and the sum of the inertial force and drive resistances, in which the mass and a gradient angle of the roadway are contained as quantities. The method may include: a) computer-aided differentiation of the equilibrium ratio according to the time with the assumption that the gradient angle is constant; and b) calculating the mass of the vehicle and/or the reciprocal value of the mass from the equilibrium ratio differentiated according the time.